

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 ORLANDO LEWAYNE PILCHER,

11 Petitioner,

No. CIV S-11-3044 CKD P

12 vs.

13 ALLEN PEPPER, JR., et al.,

14 Respondents.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 Petitioner, a prisoner at Federal Correctional Institution, Herlong, has filed a
17 document the court construes as a motion attacking his sentence under 28 U.S.C. § 2255.¹ Such
18 a motion must be brought in the court that imposed the sentence under attack. 28 U.S.C. §
19 22545(a). Petitioner's sentence was imposed in the United States District Court for the Northern
20 District of Mississippi. Therefore, the court will order this action transferred there.

21 /////

22 /////

23 /////

24 _____
25 ¹ The document submitted is actually a completed Eastern District of California form-
26 application for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. However, petitioner challenges his
federal conviction and, thus, the imposition of his sentence. Such a challenge generally must be
made through a § 2255 motion rather than a § 2241 petition for writ of habeas corpus (a proper §
2241 petition generally challenges the execution, rather than the imposition of a federal sentence).
Hernandez v. Campbell, 204 F.3d 861, 864 (9th Cir. 2000).

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is transferred to the
2 United States District Court for the Northern District of Mississippi.

3 Dated: December 1, 2011

4 
5 CAROLYN K. DELANEY
6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7
8 l/mp
9 milc3044.108
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26